

# WORLD BANK

## GRAZING TEMPERATE (RUMINANTS) SYSTEMS



#### CONTENTS

Introduction to the ISL Guide | Structure of ISL Tool Guidance | Overview of Context 2 | ISL Tool Guidance Table: Context 2

This brief provides an overview of the Investing in Sustainable Livestock (ISL) Guide and the full application of the accompanying ISL Tool to grazing temperate (ruminants) systems (referred to below as Context 2).

## CONTEXT 2: GRAZING TEMPERATE (RUMINANTS) SYSTEMS

## INTRODUCTION TO THE ISL GUIDE

The online ISL Guide (www.sustainablelivestockguide.org) is an information resource for designing and implementing environmentally sound livestock development projects. The guide has an interactive tool that provides context-specific guidance, suggested activities, and indicators to help livestock projects contribute to environmental sustainability; it also includes references for further investigation.

The ISL Guide is grounded in tested theory and evidence organized in seven principles for sustainability in the livestock sector. The World Bank and the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) specifically developed the following principles for the guide:

- 1. Contribute to a sustainable food future
- 2. Enhance carbon stocks
- 3. Increase productivity at animal and herd levels
- 4. Source feed sustainably
- 5. Couple livestock to land
- 6. Minimize fossil fuel use
- 7. Foster an enabling environment

Because the ISL Tool understands "sustainability" in a broad sense, it will eventually comprise elements not only of the environment but also of animal health and welfare, public health, and equity issues such as gender and inclusion. Thus, in due course, the World Bank and FAO will expand the scope of the tool to include guidance for addressing these issues in livestock projects.

## STRUCTURE OF THE ISL TOOL

The ISL tool provides guidance for improving the environmental outcomes of livestock projects in the following 6 contexts, which cover the different livestock farming systems found worldwide:

Context 1: Grazing dry - Pastoral (ruminants)

Context 2: Grazing temperate (ruminants)

Context 3: Grazing sub-humid (ruminants)

Context 4: Mixed crop-livestock, dry (ruminants)

Context 5: Mixed crop-livestock, humid (monogastrics)

Context 6: Intensive (ruminants and monogastrics)

The guidance provided for each of these contexts is organized according to objectives and interventions that are typically found in livestock investment projects. A broad review of projects funded by the World Bank Group and other Financial Institutions allowed to identify :

- Five broad objectives that livestock sector development commonly seeks to achieve: (i) improved productivity of livestock,
   (ii) improved market access and development of value chains, (iii) improved input and services delivery, (iv) climate change resilience and emergency response, and (v) strengthened policies, knowledge, and information.
- For each of these five objectives, a series of project interventions typically implemented (e.g. "improve animal health and welfare" for the
  objective " improved productivity of livestock" ), as well as more specific project activities that might be implemented under each intervention
  (e.g. "undertake vaccination campaigns"). For each objective, the identified interventions and activities differ according to the context of the
  project.

For every combination of context and intervention, the ISL Tool provides -specific guidance for improving the environmental outcomes, as well as suggested indicators for project monitoring and evaluation. The guidance integrates the trade-offs that may occur between environmental objectives where relevant and considers compromises among these trade-offs that can lead to submaximal environmental outcomes.

## CONTEXT 2: GRAZING TEMPERATE (RUMINANTS) SYSTEMS

## OVERVIEW OF CONTEXT 2: GRAZING TEMPERATE (RUMINANTS) SYSTEMS

Context 2 covers systems found in temperate to cold, naturally occurring grasslands across the globe. Generally, commercial ranches with privately owned land, these systems vary widely in terms of specialization and intensification, and may also produce crops.

#### Description of typical situation

In temperate to cold climates, commercially oriented grazing systems are found where climate and soil conditions favor natural grasslands as the common vegetation. Examples of regions with such grazing systems are Central Asia (e.g., Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Uzbekistan), Latin America (e.g. Uruguay, Argentina, Chile), and Africa (e.g. South Africa, Botswana). Land use in these countries is dominated by commercial, extensive cattle (mainly beef) and small ruminant production on small- to large-scale private farms and ranches. The size of such farms or ranches depends on the productivity of the land and may range from a few hectares to a few thousand hectares per farm or ranch. The land is usually privately owned. Breeds, both local and crossbred, are well adapted to the conditions of production and both specialized (beef), and dual purpose (beef and dairy) are found.

In this context, beef production most often comprises three stages, which can be found together on one farm as well as separately on specialized farms. In the first stage, the breeding of calves, feed, and animal health inputs are used for reproduction and the production of milk (the primary feed for calves during the first months of life). After the calves are weaned, they enter the second, intermediate feeding stage in which they graze on pasture and rangeland and grow slowly until they reach a certain weight (about 300–400 kg). The time required to reach this weight may vary from one to several years. In the final fattening stage, a high growth rate (approximately 1 to 1.5 kg/animal/day) is achieved based on high quality and quantity of feed inputs. This last stage can be shorter or entirely absent in some situations. Hence, beef production systems on natural grazing lands are in part (the breeding and intermediate feeding stages) extensive, low-input systems generally combined with a final fattening stage, high-input system. Intensification of the breeding and intermediate feeding stages is often economically unfeasible. In contrast, dairy production in these regions is most often low-input/low-output systems, found predominantly among smallholders. Such systems also require some inputs (feed, health, breeding) to achieve economically feasible production levels.

While grasslands are the predominant natural vegetation in many countries with this type of system, crop production is also found in those regions with adequate water availability. Depending on economic conditions (i.e., crop prices, subsidies, and other national institutional support), farmers may alternate between crop production and livestock. One example of such a country is Kyrgyzstan, which has 9.5 million hectares (ha) of grazing land and 1.5 million ha of mostly irrigated cropland. Wheat production once was an important contributor to the national food supply, but several factors (e.g., suboptimal management of the irrigation infrastructure, soil degradation, low wheat prices) have made wheat production unattractive and resulted in some substitution by wheat imports. As a consequence, farmers shifted to dairy production and horses in the former crop areas.

#### Common environmental issues

Grassland used for ruminant production in regions with unfavorable conditions has the risk of overgrazing and grassland degradation. Grassland degradation is observed as vegetation cover is altered (reduced or even entirely eliminated, or evolving from grass to shrub), and signs of soil erosion appear. It reduces production potential but also biodiversity, the amount of carbon stored in soils, and the replenishment of aquifers. Grassland degradation is reported in many of the countries where this farming system is found (Principles 2, 3 and 4).

Extensive meat production in such regions from cattle, sheep, and goats has high direct GHG emission intensities. GHGs may also be emitted from grassland degradation. Livestock system intensification for beef and dairy, and in particular the fattening stage of beef systems, also generally increases the use of emission-intensive feeds, such as maize, soy, wheat, and barley. These crops, furthermore, are grown on arable land suited for food crops. The resulting competition for land and water between human-edible food and livestock feed production is an important issue associated with the intensification of livestock production in these regions (Principles 2, 3 and 4).

## CONTEXT 2: GRAZING TEMPERATE (RUMINANTS) SYSTEMS

## ISL TOOL GUIDANCE: CONTEXT 2: GRAZING TEMPERATE (RUMINANTS) SYSTEMS

The section below includes guidance for improving the environmental outcomes of five broad objectives that livestock development projects commonly seek to achieve. Typical interventions and specific activities are suggested under each objective, as well as guidance and indicators for improving environmental outcomes and monitoring and evaluating progress toward these outcomes. The guidance also references relevant Principles of Investment in Sustainable Livestock (Principles 1 – 7 or "P1" through "P7") for further reading.

#### OBJECTIVE 1: IMPROVE THE PRODUCTIVITY OF LIVESTOCK

## INTERVENTION: IMPROVE ANIMAL HEALTH AND WELFARE

#### ACTIVITIES

- Undertake vaccination campaigns.
- Improve disease prevention and control.
- Avoid spread of antimicrobial resistance (AMR).
- Improve livestock welfare.

#### GUIDANCE

- P3 Livestock health and welfare improvements already contribute to sustainability by reducing morbidity and mortality as well as lowering stress on the animal. This, in turn, increases productivity, reduces incentives to increase herd size to compensate for animal losses, and consequently lowers GHG emissions and other environmental impacts. FAO 2011, FAWC 2009, FAO 2013b.
- P3 Livestock productivity improvements may incentivize herd growth and increase overall demand for feed. Evaluate the potential implications of any foreseen increase in livestock numbers on water and land resources. <u>LEAP 2018a</u>, <u>LEAP 2016f</u>, <u>LEAP 2016a</u>.
- P7 Raise awareness among farmers about the environmental benefits of improving livestock health and welfare.

#### INDICATORS

Reduced net GHG emissions (CO2-eq) per unit (kg) of product for selected agricultural commodities (e.g., milk, meat, and eggs) — Percentage. This indicator measures the climate impact — i.e., net greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, including soil carbon sequestration — of agricultural commodity production. It measures the change in the net emission of GHG per unit of agricultural product, including sources and sinks along the supply chain. GHG emissions are converted to carbon dioxide (CO2) equivalent using standard global warming potential values. Quantification can be performed using IPCC 2006 Guidelines, calculators (e.g., GLEAM-i, Cool Farm Tool). The team may consider using certified methodologies, such as the Gold Standard Small Holder Dairy Methodology to generate tradeable GHG mitigation outcomes as well as the LEAP 2018 guidelines for assessing environmental performance in pig supply chains and in large ruminant supply chains.

→ Quantification may be undertaken at the start of the project, at medium term, and during terminal evaluation, using dedicated surveys to parameterize models, together with activity data from the monitoring system.

**Farmers/extension agents/service providers trained on environmental issues and options in the livestock sector — Number**. This indicator measures the number of stakeholders along the supply chains that have been made aware of and trained on environmental issues in the livestock sector, for instance, through the inclusion of environmental issues and options in curriculums, extension manuals, capacity development programs, etc.

Quantification may be undertaken annually or at the start of the project, at medium term, and during terminal evaluation, using dedicated surveys.

#### OBJECTIVE 1: IMPROVE THE PRODUCTIVITY OF LIVESTOCK

## INTERVENTION: IMPROVE ANIMAL GENETICS

#### ACTIVITIES

- Select for improved genetics within the existing herd.
- Develop breeding programs with exotic and local genetic resources.

#### GUIDANCE

- P3 Improving livestock genetics already contributes to sustainability by avoiding GHG emissions and pressure on natural resources associated with less-productive animals (reproductive herd as well as fattening/milking animals). FAO 2010, ILRI 2017b, IAEA 2007.
- P4 Cross-breeding with high-productivity breeds may require more and higher-quality feed, production of which may increase environmental impacts. Ensure that any increased demand for higher-quality feed is met sustainably. <u>LEAP 2016b</u>.
- P3 Consider the effect of breed improvement and the reduction of herd size on the resilience of the system to deal with short- and long-term perturbations, such as droughts, floods, warfare, and climate change.

#### INDICATORS

Reduced net GHG emissions (CO2-eq) per unit (kg) of product for selected agricultural commodities (e.g., milk, meat, and eggs) — Percentage. This indicator measures the climate impact — i.e., net greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, including soil carbon sequestration — of agricultural commodity production. It measures the change in the net emission of GHG per unit of agricultural product, including sources and sinks along the supply chain. GHG emissions are converted to carbon dioxide (CO2) equivalent using standard global warming potential values. Quantification can be performed using IPCC 2006 Guidelines, calculators (e.g., GLEAM-i, Cool Farm Tool). The team may consider using certified methodologies, such as the Gold Standard Small Holder Dairy Methodology to generate tradeable GHG mitigation outcomes as well as the LEAP 2018 guidelines for assessing environmental performance in pig supply chains and in large ruminant supply chains.

Quantification may be undertaken at the start of the project, at medium term, and during terminal evaluation, using dedicated surveys to parameterize models, together with activity data from the monitoring system.

## INTERVENTION: IMPROVE MANAGEMENT OF AND ACCESS TO FODDER AND WATER RESOURCES

#### ACTIVITIES

- Develop integrated land management approaches to restore and maintain grassland productivity.
- Build capacity in natural resource management planning at community and local levels.
- Develop water resources and distribution in underexploited rangelands.

#### GUIDANCE

- P3 Grassland productivity already contributes to reducing greenhouse gas (GHG) emission intensities by improving livestock productivity and increasing carbon stocks. It can also have positive effects on water cycles and biodiversity.
- P2,P7 Provide farmers with technical assistance, financing options, and knowledge-sharing mechanisms on integrated land management planning. Such planning will optimize grazing or feed production as well as the use of locally available agro-industrial by-products and imported feed, contributing to improved grassland, individual livestock, and herd productivity while also enhancing carbon stocks and reducing pressure on land and water resources. <u>VSFG 2008</u>.
- P2,P7 When developing integrated grassland management plans, ensure that environmental objectives, such as biodiversity conservation, carbon sequestration, and water resource replenishment, are included among the objectives of the plans. <u>LEAP</u> 2016a, <u>LEAP 2016e</u>, <u>LEAP 2016f</u>, <u>FAO 2009</u>, <u>International Land Coalition 2013</u>, <u>Chambers et al. 2001b</u>.
- P3 Livestock productivity improvements may incentivize herd growth and increase overall demand for feed. To moderate this incentive, activities to improve feeding should include incentives to control growth in the overall number of livestock. Evaluate the potential implications of any foreseen increase in livestock numbers on land degradation and pressure on water resources. <u>LEAP 2018a</u>.
- P2,P7 Provide technical assistance for the preservation and restoration of natural grassland (conserving and restoring natural habitats, increasing biomass per unit area). Assess the resilience of grasslands to climate change impacts and weather/seasonal variability.

#### INDICATORS

Reduced net GHG emissions (CO2-eq) per unit (kg) of product for selected agricultural commodities (e.g., milk, meat, and eggs) — Percentage. This indicator measures the climate impact — i.e., net greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, including soil carbon sequestration — of agricultural commodity production. It measures the change in the net emission of GHG per unit of agricultural product, including sources and sinks along the supply chain. GHG emissions are converted to carbon dioxide (CO2) equivalent using standard global warming potential values. Quantification can be performed using IPCC 2006 Guidelines, calculators (e.g., GLEAM-i, Cool Farm Tool). The team may consider using certified methodologies, such as the Gold Standard Small Holder Dairy Methodology to generate tradeable GHG mitigation outcomes as well as the LEAP 2018 guidelines for assessing environmental performance in pig supply chains and in large ruminant supply chains.

Quantification may be undertaken at the start of the project, at medium term, and during terminal evaluation, using dedicated surveys to parameterize models, together with activity data from the monitoring system.

**Grazing land area where sustainable land management practices have been adopted as a result of the project — Hectare** (ha). This indicator measures the adoption of sustainable grassland (rangeland and pasture) management in project intervention areas. The adoption of sustainable land management practices aims to ensure that grazing pressure is in line with productivity and resilience of pasture and rangelands, and with the generation of other ecosystem services (e.g., carbon sequestration, biodiversity, replenishment of aquifers). Positive lists of sustainable land management practices vary according to rangeland biology, climate, and livestock species and may be provided in project documents. They may include land use regimes, agronomic and vegetative measures, and structural measures. Teams may consider using LEAP 2016 guidelines for assessing the impacts of livestock on biodiversity.

Quantification may rely on a field-based survey based on semi-structured interviews with producers, ranchers, pastoralists, and agro-pastoralists on the change in behavior related to the use of their grassland in targeted zones of the project.

**Farmers/extension agents/service providers trained on environmental issues and options in the livestock sector — Number**. This indicator measures the number of stakeholders along the supply chains that have been made aware of and trained on environmental issues in the livestock sector, for instance, through the inclusion of environmental issues and options in curriculums, extension manuals, capacity development programs, etc. the LEAP 2018 guidelines for assessing environmental performance in pig supply chains and in large ruminant supply chains.

#### OBJECTIVE 1: IMPROVE THE PRODUCTIVITY OF LIVESTOCK

Quantification may be undertaken at the start of the project, at medium term, and during terminal evaluation, using dedicated surveys to parameterize models, together with activity data from the monitoring system.

**Grazing land area where sustainable land management practices have been adopted as a result of the project — Hectare** (ha). This indicator measures the adoption of sustainable grassland (rangeland and pasture) management in project intervention areas. The adoption of sustainable land management practices aims to ensure that grazing pressure is in line with productivity and resilience of pasture and rangelands, and with the generation of other ecosystem services (e.g., carbon sequestration, biodiversity, replenishment of aquifers). Positive lists of sustainable land management practices vary according to rangeland biology, climate, and livestock species and may be provided in project documents. They may include land use regimes, agronomic and vegetative measures, and structural measures. Teams may consider using LEAP 2016 guidelines for assessing the impacts of livestock on biodiversity.

Quantification may rely on a field-based survey based on semi-structured interviews with producers, ranchers, pastoralists, and agro-pastoralists on the change in behavior related to the use of their grassland in targeted zones of the project.

**Farmers/extension agents/service providers trained on environmental issues and options in the livestock sector — Number**. This indicator measures the number of stakeholders along the supply chains that have been made aware of and trained on environmental issues in the livestock sector, for instance, through the inclusion of environmental issues and options in curriculums, extension manuals, capacity development programs, etc.

Quantification may be undertaken annually or at the start of the project, at medium term, and during terminal evaluation, using dedicated surveys.

**Natural habitat restored/protected — Ha**. This indicator measures the area of forest, natural grassland, and other natural areas that remain protected or are restored under the project.

Quantification may be reported annually based on the sampling and direct measurements following a predefined protocol. LEAP 2016 A review of indicators and methods to assess biodiversity and LEAP 2016 Principles for the assessment of livestock impacts on biodiversity.

**Irrigation water used in feed production — Cubic meter per unit of feed**. This indicator measures the amount of irrigation water used for feed production (e.g., expressed in cubic meter per unit of dry matter of cubic meter per unit of digestible energy).

Quantification may be reported annually based on sampling and direct measurements following a predefined protocol. <u>LEAP 2016</u> <u>Environmental performance of animal feeds supply chains</u>.

**Pesticides used in feed production — Amount per unit of feed**. This indicator measures the amount of pesticides used for feed production (e.g., expressed per unit of dry matter or per unit of digestible energy).

Quantification may be reported annually based on sampling and direct measurements following a predefined protocol. <u>LEAP 2016 Environmental performance of animal feeds supply chains</u>.

**Competition with food production — Share**. This indicator reports the change in the portion of feed consumed by livestock in the project that is not directly human-edible or is produced on land not suited for crop production.

Quantification may be undertaken annually or at the start of the project, at medium term, and during terminal evaluation, using dedicated surveys.

**OBJECTIVE 1** 

#### OBJECTIVE 2: IMPROVE MARKET ACCESS AND DEVELOP VALUE CHAINS

## INTERVENTION: DEVELOP PRODUCER ORGANIZATIONS AND PRODUCTIVE ALLIANCES

#### ACTIVITIES

- Establish and/or build the capacity of new/existing producer organizations.
- Provide financing for subprojects under productive alliances.

#### GUIDANCE

- P7 Raise awareness among producer organizations about environmental issues related to livestock production.
- P7 Provide producers and producer organizations with training on developing environmental management plans, gaining access to climate and environmental finance, embedding environmental objectives in business plans, and monitoring and evaluating environmental benefits.
- P7 Include environmental criteria in subproject selection. Establish a line of credit for activities with additional environmental benefits.

#### INDICATORS

**Farmers/extension agents/service providers trained on environmental issues and options in the livestock sector — Number**. This indicator measures the number of stakeholders along the supply chains that have been made aware of and trained on environmental issues in the livestock sector, for instance, through the inclusion of environmental issues and options in curriculums, extension manuals, capacity development programs, etc.

Quantification may be undertaken annually or at the start of the project, at medium term, and during terminal evaluation, using dedicated surveys.

## INTERVENTION: CONSTRUCT/UPGRADE POST-FARM-GATE FACILITIES

#### ACTIVITIES

- Construct and/or upgrade roads between production, processing, and market areas.
- Improve transport and storage capacity.
- Construct and/or upgrade processing plants, slaughterhouses, dairy processing, and (wet) markets.

#### GUIDANCE

- P2 Assess the resilience of roads, buildings, and other infrastructure to damaging climate and weather events.
- P6 Provide technical assistance and financing options for incorporating energy-efficient machinery and equipment into technical design and financial analysis. Incorporate renewable energy production where possible. <u>IEE 2007</u>.

0

**OBJECTIVE 2** 

#### INDICATORS

**Processing plants and markets that have adopted a waste management plan — Number or percentage**. This indicator measures the number of slaughterhouses, dairies and other processing units, animal gathering points, and markets that have received project support to develop and implement liquid and solid waste management plans. At a minimum, plans should address the reduction of waste streams, waste collection, storage, and treatment.

→ Quantification may be reported annually using project advancement reports.

**Energy-saving and renewable energy production devices and plans supported by the project — Number**. This indicator measures the number of energy-saving and renewable energy production devices installed by the project, either directly or indirectly (through policies and energy pricing). Energy-saving investments may include systems for energy recovery in milk cooling; upgraded thermic insulation; efficient burners; and energy use efficiency plans at the company level. Renewable energy production includes solar panels, biodigesters, solar panels, wind power, and micro-hydropower.

> Quantification may be undertaken annually or at project start, mid-term, and terminal evaluation, using dedicated surveys.

**Reduction of pollution discharge into waterways** — **Percentage**. This indicator measures the reduction in nitrate, phosphates, and BOD and E. Coli discharge (a) at the end of the pipe of the individual farms or community and (b) at critical downstream locations to be defined in the monitoring and evaluation (M&E) plan.

Quantification may be reported annually based on sampling and direct measurements following a predefined protocol. <u>LEAP 2018</u> <u>Nutrient Flows and associated environmental impacts in livestock supply chains. Guidelines for assessment</u>.

### INTERVENTION: CREATE OPPORTUNITIES ALONG THE VALUE CHAIN

#### ACTIVITIES

- Raise awareness among consumers for products produced under the project.
- Establish livestock market information systems and support livestock trade associations to access import markets.

#### GUIDANCE

P7 Grass-based systems can be a strong market entry point for sustainable products that contribute to ecosystem conservation. Establish and promote labeling and/or certification schemes for niche products that are environmentally sustainable.

#### INDICATORS

Amount of animal source food in diet — Grams per capita per day — variation in percentage. This indicator measures the increase or decrease in animal source food in human diets, within a beneficiary population (kilogram intake per capita per year). It distinguishes populations having low or high baseline consumption, for instance, by using national dietary recommendations as a reference.

Quantification may be undertaken annually or at the start of the project, at medium term, and during terminal evaluation, using dedicated surveys.

#### OBJECTIVE 2: IMPROVE MARKET ACCESS AND DEVELOP VALUE CHAINS

## INTERVENTION: DEVELOP LIVESTOCK FATTENING ACTIVITIES

#### ACTIVITIES

- Optimize the beef value chain of calf production, intermediate fattening, and finishing.
- Undertake territorial planning to identify and develop reproductive regions (drier) and fattening regions (wetter).
- Develop transportation networks to transport livestock within the meat value chains.
- Optimize the offtake rate (the proportion of the herd that is sold or consumed each year).
- Create a market demand for products of fattening activities.

#### GUIDANCE

- P3 Optimizing the offtake rate in pastoral herds, i.e., removing young males for fattening in higher-productivity areas, already contributes to sustainability by reducing GHG emissions and other environmental impacts associated with productivity losses.
- P3, P4, Meat production systems for beef cattle and small ruminants are often organized in separate stages: the production of young
- P7 fattening animals at breeder farms (where the reproductive herd is kept), final fattening at intensive farms (feedlots), and in some cases an intermediate stage (semi-intensive, referred to as a feeder farm). These various stages in the fattening chain may be integrated or not. Design a configuration of the supply chain which minimizes environmental impacts along the chain while also taking care of other sustainability issues. The size of the reproductive herd, the amount and quality of feed used in each stage, and the duration of each stage should be optimized. LEAP 2016b, LEAP 2016f.
- P2, P7 Provide technical assistance on territorial planning for the development of fattening activities. Assess the resilience of grassland regions to climate change impacts and weather/seasonal variability. <u>WB 2016, LEAP 2016a</u>.
- P4, P2 Provide technical assistance and financing options for sustainable feed production and/or sourcing to sustain fattening activities, especially with regard to water use efficiency and the use of pesticides. <u>LEAP 2016b</u>, <u>LEAP 2016f</u>.
- P5 Provide technical assistance and financing options for managing manure from fattening activities. <u>Teenstra et al. 2014</u>, <u>CCAC 2015</u>, <u>FAO 2013a</u>, <u>Chambers et al. 2001b</u>, <u>Chambers et al. 2001c</u>.
- P3 Developing fattening activities may incentivize growth in overall livestock numbers. Evaluate the potential implications of increasing livestock numbers on water and land resources. LEAP 2018a, LEAP 2016f, LEAP 2016a.
- P6 Provide technical assistance and financing options for reducing fossil fuel emissions from animal transport. <u>LEAP 2016f</u>.
- P3 Develop guidelines for animal health and welfare during transport. FAWC 2009, FAO 2013b.

#### INDICATORS

**Energy-saving and renewable energy production devices and plans supported by the project — Number**. This indicator measures the number of energy-saving and renewable energy production devices installed by the project, either directly or indirectly (through policies and energy pricing). Energy-saving investments may include systems for energy recovery in milk cooling; upgraded thermic insulation; efficient burners; and energy use efficiency plans at the company level. Renewable energy production includes solar panels, biodigesters, solar panels, wind power, and micro-hydropower.

-> Quantification may be undertaken annually or at project start, mid-term, and terminal evaluation, using dedicated surveys.

#### OBJECTIVE 3: IMPROVE INPUT AND SERVICES DELIVERY

## INTERVENTION: DEVELOP PUBLIC AND PRIVATE EXTENSION SERVICES

#### ACTIVITIES

- Provide extension agents with training and capacity building.
- Develop extension manuals and curricula.

#### GUIDANCE

- P2, P7 Train extension agents to evaluate and advise herders on sustainable rangeland and pasture management and on sustainable use of water resources.
- P7 Train extension agents to collect data on grassland degradation, water management, and other environmental issues from pastoral systems that they serve.

#### INDICATORS

**Farmers/extension agents/service providers trained on environmental issues and options in the livestock sector — Number**. This indicator measures the number of stakeholders along the supply chains that have been made aware of and trained on environmental issues in the livestock sector, for instance, through the inclusion of environmental issues and options in curriculums, extension manuals, capacity development programs, etc.

Quantification may be undertaken annually or at the start of the project, at medium term, and during terminal evaluation, using dedicated surveys.

## INTERVENTION: IMPROVE PUBLIC AND PRIVATE ANIMAL HEALTH SERVICES

#### ACTIVITIES

- Provide extension agents with training and capacity building.
- Develop extension manuals and curricula.

#### GUIDANCE

- P7 During training, raise awareness among veterinarians and livestock health workers about environmental issues and benefits related to livestock health and welfare.
- P7 Introduce links to natural resource management issues and options in the animal health manuals and curricula.

#### OBJECTIVE 3: IMPROVE INPUT AND SERVICES DELIVERY

#### INDICATORS

**Farmers/extension agents/service providers trained on environmental issues and options in the livestock sector — Number**. This indicator measures the number of stakeholders along the supply chains that have been made aware of and trained on environmental issues in the livestock sector, for instance, through the inclusion of environmental issues and options in curriculums, extension manuals, capacity development programs, etc.

Quantification may be undertaken annually or at the start of the project, at medium term, and during terminal evaluation, using dedicated surveys.

## INTERVENTION: STRENGTHEN PROVISION OF INPUT AND SERVICES

#### ACTIVITIES

- Provide private service and input providers with training and seed financing.
- Foster the development of new services where gaps exist.

#### GUIDANCE

- P7 Assess demand and provide seed financing for services that contribute to sustainability: green economy (sustainably sourced feed, renewable energy installation construction and maintenance). <u>IEE 2007, SNV Biogas</u>.
- P7 Develop markets for sustainable inputs, such as sustainably sourced feed, organic fertilizers, and organic pesticides.

#### INDICATORS

**Energy-saving and renewable energy production devices and plans supported by the project — Number**. This indicator measures the number of energy-saving and renewable energy production devices installed by the project, either directly or indirectly (through policies and energy pricing). Energy-saving investments may include systems for energy recovery in milk cooling; upgraded thermic insulation; efficient burners; and energy use efficiency plans at the company level. Renewable energy production includes solar panels, biodigesters, solar panels, wind power, and micro-hydropower.

→ Quantification may be undertaken annually or at project start, mid-term, and terminal evaluation, using dedicated surveys.

**Proportion of surplus nutrients sold for use as organic fertilizer**. For those farms with nutrient surpluses that are greater than 10-20%, this indicator measures the proportion of the surplus nutrients that is sold for use as organic fertilizer. This indicator is quantified by calculating the total surplus, the amount of that surplus that is applied to crop production on the farm, and the proportion of the remaining surplus that is sold for use as organic fertilizer.

→ Quantification may be reported annually based on the production unit management data or surveys. <u>LEAP 2018 Nutrient Flows and associated environmental impacts in livestock supply chains. Guidelines for assessment</u>.

#### OBJECTIVE 3: IMPROVE INPUT AND SERVICES DELIVERY

**Farmers/extension agents/service providers trained on environmental issues and options in the livestock sector — Number**. This indicator measures the number of stakeholders along the supply chains that have been made aware of and trained on environmental issues in the livestock sector, for instance, through the inclusion of environmental issues and options in curriculums, extension manuals, capacity development programs, etc.

Quantification may be undertaken annually or at the start of the project, at medium term, and during terminal evaluation, using dedicated surveys.

**Irrigation water used in feed production — Cubic meter per unit of feed**. This indicator measures the amount of irrigation water used for feed production (e.g., expressed in cubic meter per unit of dry matter of cubic meter per unit of digestible energy).

Quantification may be reported annually based on sampling and direct measurements following a predefined protocol. <u>LEAP 2016</u> <u>Environmental performance of animal feeds supply chains</u>.

**Pesticides used in feed production — Amount per unit of feed**. This indicator measures the amount of pesticides used for feed production (e.g., expressed per unit of dry matter or per unit of digestible energy).

Quantification may be reported annually based on sampling and direct measurements following a predefined protocol. LEAP 2016 Environmental performance of animal feeds supply chains.

**Competition with food production — Share**. This indicator reports the change in the portion of feed consumed by livestock in the project that is not directly human-edible or is produced on land not suited for crop production.

Quantification may be undertaken annually or at the start of the project, at medium term, and during terminal evaluation, using dedicated surveys.

#### OBJECTIVE 4: CLIMATE CHANGE RESILIENCE AND EMERGENCY RESPONSE

## INTERVENTION: IMPROVE MANURE, NUTRIENTS, AND WASTE MANAGEMENT

#### ACTIVITIES

- Improve integrated manure management in areas where livestock is concentrated.
- Develop territorial approaches to improving the nutrient balance.

#### GUIDANCE

P5 Develop manure collection and storage plans to ensure recycling of organic matter and nutrients in cropped areas. Teenstra et al. 2014, CCAC 2015, FAO 2013a, Chambers et al. 2001b, Chambers et al. 2001c

#### INDICATORS

Reduced net GHG emissions (CO2-eq) per unit (kg) of product for selected agricultural commodities (e.g., milk, meat, and eggs) — Percentage. This indicator measures the climate impact — i.e., net greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, including soil carbon sequestration — of agricultural commodity production. It measures the change in the net emission of GHG per unit of agricultural product, including sources and sinks along the supply chain. GHG emissions are converted to carbon dioxide (CO2) equivalent using standard global warming potential values. Quantification can be performed using IPCC 2006 Guidelines, calculators (e.g., GLEAM-i, Cool Farm Tool). The team may consider using certified methodologies, such as the Gold Standard Small Holder Dairy Method-ology to generate tradeable GHG mitigation outcomes as well as the LEAP 2018 guidelines for assessing environmental performance in pig supply chains and in large ruminant supply chains.

Quantification may be undertaken at the start of the project, at medium term, and during terminal evaluation, using dedicated surveys to parameterize models, together with activity data from the monitoring system.

**Grazing land area where sustainable land management practices have been adopted as a result of the project — Hectare (ha)**. This indicator measures the adoption of sustainable grassland (rangeland and pasture) management in project intervention areas. The adoption of sustainable land management practices aims to ensure that grazing pressure is in line with productivity and resilience of pasture and rangelands, and with the generation of other ecosystem services (e.g., carbon sequestration, biodiversity, replenishment of aquifers). Positive lists of sustainable land management practices vary according to rangeland biology, climate, and livestock species and may be provided in project documents. They may include land use regimes, agronomic and vegetative measures, and structural measures. Teams may consider using LEAP 2016 guidelines for assessing the impacts of livestock on biodiversity.

Quantification may rely on a field-based survey based on semi-structured interviews with producers, ranchers, pastoralists, and agro-pastoralists on the change in behavior related to the use of their grassland in targeted zones of the project.

Processing plants and markets that have adopted a waste management plan — Number or percentage. This indicator measures the number of slaughterhouses, dairies and other processing units, animal gathering points, and markets that have received project support to develop and implement liquid and solid waste management plans. At a minimum, plans should address the reduction of waste streams, waste collection, storage, and treatment.

→ Quantification may be reported annually using project advancement reports.

#### OBJECTIVE 4: CLIMATE CHANGE RESILIENCE AND EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Livestock production units that have adopted a manure management plan — Number. This indicator measures the number of production units that have received project support to develop and implement manure management plans. Improved manure management practices and plans should be defined in the project document and address, at a minimum, manure collection, storage, and the recycling schedule. Manure processing and recording of manure transfer may also be included, if relevant.

→ Quantification may be undertaken annually, using project advancement reports.

**Proportion of production units for which nutrient flows are balanced — Percentage**. This indicator measures simple nitrogen and phosphorus balances at the production unit level, as the difference between inputs (e.g., fertilizer, feed) and outputs (e.g., animal and crop products, manure exports). Nutrient flows are considered when the difference between inputs and outputs does not exceed 10-20%.

Quantification may be reported annually based on the production unit management data or surveys. <u>LEAP 2018 Nutrient Flows and associated environmental impacts in livestock supply chains. Guidelines for assessment.</u>

**Proportion of surplus nutrients sold for use as organic fertilizer**. For those farms with nutrient surpluses that are greater than 10-20%, this indicator measures the proportion of the surplus nutrients that is sold for use as organic fertilizer. This indicator is quantified by calculating the total surplus, the amount of that surplus that is applied to crop production on the farm, and the proportion of the remaining surplus that is sold for use as organic fertilizer.

Quantification may be reported annually based on the production unit management data or surveys. <u>LEAP 2018 Nutrient Flows and associated environmental impacts in livestock supply chains. Guidelines for assessment.</u>

**Reduction of manure and waste discharge — Percentage**. This indicator measures the reduction percentage of production units that discharge waste, manure, and slurry into waterways or unmanaged/unlined lagoons.

Quantification may be undertaken annually or at the start of the project, at medium term, and during terminal evaluation, using dedicated surveys.

**Reduction of pollution discharge into waterways** — **Percentage**. This indicator measures the reduction in nitrate, phosphates, and BOD and E. Coli discharge (a) at the end of the pipe of the individual farms or community and (b) at critical downstream locations to be defined in the monitoring and evaluation (M&E) plan.

Quantification may be reported annually based on sampling and direct measurements following a predefined protocol. <u>LEAP 2018</u> <u>Nutrient Flows and associated environmental impacts in livestock supply chains. Guidelines for assessment.</u>

#### OBJECTIVE 4: CLIMATE CHANGE RESILIENCE AND EMERGENCY RESPONSE

## INTERVENTION: DEVELOP EARLY WARNING INFORMATION SYSTEMS AND FEED BUDGETING

#### ACTIVITIES

- Strengthen early warning systems.
- Develop crisis response plans.

#### GUIDANCE

- P7 Harmonize early warning information systems with information systems on livestock, climate, and weather. Harness systems to monitor and evaluate environmental management in pastoral areas. Harmonizing livestock, climate, weather, and early warning information systems can improve the resilience of pastoralists by enabling destocking, redistribution, or other actions to avoid loss in livestock value in anticipation of crises.
- P7 Embed basic environmental management practices in training and capacity-building programs on crisis management planning. <u>LEGS</u>, <u>FAO 2016</u>.

#### INDICATORS

**Contingency fund for livestock emergencies created and operational — Yes/no**. This indicator measures the creation and funding of a contingency fund for livestock emergencies related to drought, disease, and other hazards.

→ Quantification may be reported annually using project advancement reports.

#### OBJECTIVE 5: STRENGTHEN POLICIES, KNOWLEDGE, AND INFORMATION

## INTERVENTION: DEVELOP AND HARMONIZE LIVESTOCK POLICIES, PLANS, REGULATIONS, AND PROGRAMS

#### ACTIVITIES

Develop a national livestock master plan.

#### GUIDANCE

- P7 Ensure that integrated approaches to land and water resource management are embedded in national livestock planning. Include targets for carbon stock enhancement. Make provision for targeting the areas and type of producers where progress toward environmental sustainability can be established most cost-effectively. Develop timelines for the progressive introduction of environmental policies.
- P7 Develop programs that generate environmental benefits (e.g., payments for environmental services scheme <u>IIED 2013</u> tailored to sustainable grassland management, international climate finance for carbon credits, and linking to nationally determined contributions under the Paris Agreement). <u>FAO 2017a</u>.
- P2, P7 When developing integrated grassland management plans, ensure that environmental objectives, such as biodiversity conservation, carbon sequestration, and water resource replenishment, are included among the objectives of the plans.

## INTERVENTION: DEVELOP LIVESTOCK INFORMATION SYSTEMS

#### ACTIVITIES

- Develop livestock identification and performance recording.
- Include livestock data in the agriculture census.

#### GUIDANCE

- P7 Include data on grassland degradation and environmental performance in livestock information systems.
- P7 Include training and resources for the collection of census data that can enable environmental performance assessment.

#### OBJECTIVE 5: STRENGTHEN POLICIES, KNOWLEDGE, AND INFORMATION

## INTERVENTION: IMPROVE CAPACITIES AT CENTRAL AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT LEVELS

#### GUIDANCE

- P7 Develop a natural resource management unit within the ministry of agriculture. Provide technical assistance, capacity building, and financial resources for monitoring, policy, and extension work, especially focusing on sustainable grazing.
- P7 Provide relevant ministries (e.g., agriculture, livestock, water, environment, rural development, finance, energy) with capacity building on sustainable grassland management.
- P7 Develop early warning and decision support systems and tools for sustainable grassland management.

#### INDICATORS

**Environment (or natural resource) management unit created within the ministry (department) of livestock — Yes/No**. This indicator measures the creation, staffing, and funding of a unit dedicated to environmental management. Its functions may include environmental monitoring, assessments, awareness raising, capacity development among public servants and private sector, administration of environmental funds, and development of policies and regulations.

→ Quantification may be reported annually using project advancement reports.

### INTERVENTION: ESTABLISH RESEARCH GRANTS AND EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

#### ACTIVITIES

• Provide financing options for research and education on livestock development issues.

#### GUIDANCE

- P7 Include calls for science and policy research proposals, for example, on sustainable grassland management, sustainable intensification, the economics of sustainable beef production and marketing, enhancement of carbon stocks in livestock systems, and monitoring, reporting and verification approaches for GHG emission reductions.
- P7 In local universities and professional schools, develop educational programs on sustainable pastoral systems.

### OBJECTIVE 5: STRENGTHEN POLICIES, KNOWLEDGE, AND INFORMATION

### INTERVENTION: ESTABLISH PROGRAMS TO DIVERSIFY PASTORAL LIVELIHOODS AND PROMOTE ALTERNATIVE LIVELIHOODS

#### ACTIVITIES

- Provide smaller-scale livestock keepers with options on alternative sources of income through tailored vocational training
  and subprojects that generate sustainable employment opportunities.
- Provide livestock keepers with options on diversifying livelihoods.

#### GUIDANCE

- P7 Enabling smallholder exits from the livestock sector may reduce pressure on land and water resources and provide more stable livelihoods that are more resilient to climate change and weather variability.
- P7 Livelihood diversification will enable producers to subsist on alternative sources of income during shocks, increasing their resilience to climate change and weather variability.